

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,408 10/05/2004		Jens Tonne Andersen	10295.204-US	9822	
25908	7590 06/16/2006	EXAMINER			
NOVOZYN	MES NORTH AMERI	VOGEL, NANCY S			
500 FIFTH A SUITE 1600	- · · - -	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	K, NY 10110	1636			
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/5	510,408	ANDERSEN ET AL.				
		Exar	miner	Art Unit				
		Nand	cy T. Vogel	1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS OF THE MISSIO	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUI on no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
•	•	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>22-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is r	equired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examine	er. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper N 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/5/04</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 22-40 are pending in the case.

Receipt of the Information Disclosure Statement filed 10/5/04 is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 22-40 only as directed to SEQ ID NO:2, in the reply filed on 5/5/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicants should cancel those portions of the claims which refer to SEQ ID NOs: 3-191.

Claim Objections

Claims 22-40 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims currently recite "one or more gene(s) encoding one or more polypeptide(s) involved in sporulation which are at least 80% identical to one or more of the polypeptides shown in SEQ ID NO:s:2 to 191", and other phrases referring to one or more polypeptides. The claims have been restricted to *B. licheniformis* host cells mutated in a gene encoding a polypeptide which are at least 80% identical to the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO:2, and a method of use of said cells. Therefore, the claims should be amended to refer to only one polypeptide. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection is based on the Guidelines for the Examination of Patent

Applications under the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph "Written Description published in
the Federal Register (Volume 66, Number 4, Pages 1099-1111). Claim 22 is drawn a

B. licheniformis mutant host cell "derived" from a parent host cell which is mutated in the
gene encoding the polypeptide involved in sporulation which is at least 80% identical to
the polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO:2, wherein the mutant host cell expresses at least
5% less of said polypeptide "involved in sporulation" than the parent host cell when
cultivated under comparable conditions. Claim 39 is drawn to a process for producing a
product of interest comprising cultivating said host cell to product a product of interest.
The specification does not define what is meant by the phrase "involved in sporulation",
and the claim has been given the broadest reasonable meaning. Claims 22-40 are
genus claims in terms of a B. licheniformis host cell and method using said host cell
which has any mutation in any polypeptide having at least 80% identity to SEQ ID NO:2,

Application/Control Number: 10/510,408

Art Unit: 1636

wherein at least 5% less of said polypeptide are produced. The specification has provided no information on the function of the polypeptide whose sequence is disclosed in SEQ ID NO:2, other than the involvement in sporulation, or of any particular region or amino acids thereof which have any significance to this function of the polypeptide. The disclosure is not deemed to be descriptive of the complete structure of a representative number of species encompassed by the claims as one of skill in the art cannot envision all the mutant host cells having mutations in genes encoding polypeptides which have at least 80% identity to SEQ ID NO:2, and which are involved in sporulation. The prior art does not remedy this lack of disclosure, since a sequence search of the amino acid sequence disclosed in SEQ ID NO:2 did not result in any sequences having significant, or greater than 80% homology. Furthermore, the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 is not disclosed as being a member of any well-known and described family of polypeptides or enzymes, which share common structural elements and functions. The specification provides no information provided regarding the precise amino acids, or even which general regions of the polypeptide whose sequence is shown in SEQ ID NO:2, can be altered, and remain involved in sporulation. There is no structure-function analysis of the disclosed polypeptide shown in SEQ ID NO:2 that could be modified and retain function in sporulation. Therefore, the specification does not describe the claimed mutant host cells comprising mutations in genes encoding polypeptides having at least 80% identity with SEQ ID NO:2 and which are involved in sporulation, in such full, clear, concise and exact terms so as to indicate that Applicant

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

has possession of the method at the time of filing the present application. Thus, the written description requirement has not been satisfied.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22, and by dependence claims 23-40, are vague and indefinite in the recitation of the phrase 'derived from" a parent *B. licheniformis* host cell. "Derived" is a term that is non-specific and relative in nature for which Applicant provides no definition. It provides no clarity as to what Applicant's claimed invention includes and what it does not include. Without a more specific definition, it is impossible to determine what and how may derivations comprise the invention. The nature and number of the derivations to arrive at the invention Applicant seeks to protect with the patent are not established such that a person skilled in the art would be apprised of the metes and bounds of the claims. The limits of the inventions cannot be discerned and others could not know if they were infringing Applicant's claim. The claim is further indefinite in the recitation of "involved in sporulation" since it is not clear what is intended to be encompassed by this phrase and therefore the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter cannot be determined.

Page 6

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 3:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

NV 6/7/06